IOPscience

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Impurity effect on the commensurate-incommensurate transition in the one-dimensional quantum sine-Gordon system (g^2 =4 pi)

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1994 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6 9477 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/6/44/026)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.151 The article was downloaded on 12/05/2010 at 20:59

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Impurity effect on the commensurate-incommensurate transition in the one-dimensional quantum sine-Gordon system $(g^2 = 4\pi)$

Hikaru Yamamoto

Institute of Physics, Faculty of Education, Shiga University, Otsu 520, Japan

Received 18 January 1994, in final form 21 July 1994

Abstract. We have studied the behaviour of the mean misfit and the specific heat of the onedimensional quantum sine-Gordon system $(g^2 = 4\pi)$ with a misfit parameter for two models of impurities. We have used a Fermi-Bose relation and a self-consistent *t*-matrix approximation for impurities. We have made numerical and analytical calculations for the mean misfit and the specific heat for various cases.

1. Introduction

In a previous paper [1] we have discussed a commensurate-incommensurate crossover in the one-dimensional quantum sine-Gordon system with a misfit parameter. The purpose of this paper is to study the effect of impurities. In order to take account of nonlinear excitations, sine-Gordon models are widely used in many fields of condensed matter systems, for example, charge-density-wave (CDW) systems such as tetrathiafulvalenetetracyanoquinodimethane (TTF-TCNQ) [2] and transition-metal dichalcogenides [3]. Also, impurities play an important role in many fields of condensed matter systems, for example, a dielectric system such as thiourea, in which the impurities stabilize the commensurate phases [4]. It is therefore a relevant and challenging issue to combine disorder (impurity) with nonlinearity [5]. For example, commensurate-incommensurate transitions in two-dimensional adsorbed systems with quenched random impurities have been investigated using the replica and the Bethe ansatz methods [6] or the decimation method [7]. Moreover, two-dimensional XY magnets or solid films with quenched random disorder have been studied using the renormalization-group approach [8,9] or the replica and the renormalization-group methods [10]. The motion of interfaces and dislocations in disordered media has also been discussed [11].

Our model in this paper treats one-dimensional disorder rather than two-dimensional disorder. When the randomness of impurity positions is restricted only in the misfit direction, the two-dimensional classical problem [6] is mapped into our one-dimensional quantum problem.

We consider the following one-dimensional (1D) quantum sine-Gordon system with a misfit parameter $\hat{\mu}$ for the pure system:

$$H_{\rm QS} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[p^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{\mu} \right)^2 - \frac{2\alpha_0}{g^2} \cos(g\phi) \right] dx \tag{1}$$

0953-8984/94/449477+18\$19.50 © 1994 IOP Publishing Ltd

where $\phi(x)$ and p(x) are, respectively, a Bose field and its canonical conjugate momentum. As in [12], we investigate two types of impurities. One is impurities that couple to the potential term:

$$H_{\rm imp}^1 = -\int \left(U_0 \sum_j \delta(x - x_j) \cos[g\phi(x)] \right) dx.$$
⁽²⁾

The other is impurities that couple to the gradient of the phase variable $\phi(x)$:

$$H_{\rm imp}^2 = -\int \left(V_0 \sum_j \delta(x - x_j) \nabla \phi(x) \right) dx.$$
(3)

For general values of g^2 , equation (1) can be transformed into the massive Thirring model [13, 14], which includes the term of the fermion interaction. This massive Thirring model is exactly solved by the Bethe *ansatz* [15, 16]. At the special value of $g^2 = 4\pi$, the fermion interaction vanishes and the model reduces to a massive free-fermion model Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\rm MF}^{0} = \int \left[-i \left(\psi_1^{\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_1 - \psi_2^{\dagger} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi_2 \right) - \tilde{\mu} (\psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_1 + \psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_2) + m_0 (\psi_1^{\dagger} \psi_2 + \psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_1) \right] \mathrm{d}x \tag{4}$$

where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are fermion fields, $\tilde{\mu} = \sqrt{\pi} \hat{\mu}$ and $m_0 = a_1 \alpha_0 c / 4\pi$ (c is the lattice constant and $a_1 \sim O(1)$). In this case we may identify the soliton as a Fermi particle. The original non-linearity remains as a Fermi statistic.

Using the momentum-space operators $a_{j,k}$ defined as

$$\psi_j(x) = L^{-1/2} \sum_k a_{j,k} \exp(ikx)$$
(5)

we get

$$H_{\rm MF}^{0} = \sum_{k} A_{k}^{\dagger} (k\sigma_{3} - \tilde{\mu}1 + m_{0}\sigma_{1})A_{k}$$
(6)

where $A_k^{\dagger} = (a_{1,k}^{\dagger}, a_{2,k}^{\dagger})$, A_k is its adjoint column matrix and $\{\sigma_i\}$ are the Pauli matrices. This pure system has energy bands $E = \pm (k^2 + m_0^2)^{1/2}$. The impurity potential terms are written as

$$H_{\rm imp}^{\rm l} = \sum_{k,k'} A_k^{\dagger} \left(\sum_j \bar{V}_1 \exp[-i(k-k')x_j]\sigma_1/L \right) A_{k'}$$
(7)

$$H_{\rm imp}^2 = \sum_{k,k'} A_k^{\dagger} \bigg(\sum_j V_2 \exp[-i(k-k')x_j]/L \bigg) A_{k'}$$
(8)

where $V_1 = a_1 U_0 c$ and $V_2 = \sqrt{\pi} V_0$.

We use a 2 × 2 matrix thermal Green function, $\hat{G}_{k,k'}(\tau) = -\langle T_{\tau}A_k(\tau); A_{k'}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle$, where T_{τ} is Wick's notation of the ordering operator for the imaginary time τ . For the pure system $(H_{\rm MF}^0)$ the Fourier transform of $\hat{G}_k^0(\tau)$ is given by

$$\hat{G}_{k}^{0}(i\omega_{n}) = [i\omega_{n} + \tilde{\mu} - (k\sigma_{3} + m_{0}\sigma_{1})]^{-1}$$
(9)

where $\omega_n = 2\pi T (n + \frac{1}{2})$, with n being integer, are the Matsubara frequencies.

In [12], we have considered the same sine-Gordon system, but with no misfit parameter, i.e. $\hat{\mu} = 0$. There we have used the self-consistent *t*-matrix approximation for impurities and derived the expression for the density of states. In our problem we make the same

approximation for impurities. Then the results are only to rewrite $i\omega_n \rightarrow i\omega_n + \tilde{\mu}$ in equations (15)–(18) of [12]. For the impurity potential H_{imp}^1 , we obtain

$$i\tilde{\omega}_n = i\omega_n + \tilde{\mu} + \frac{n_i V_1^2 i\tilde{\omega}_n / 2(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}{1 + V_1^2 / 4 + V_1 \tilde{m}_n / (\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}$$
(10a)

$$\tilde{m}_n = m_0 + n_1 V_1 \frac{1 + V_1 \tilde{m}_n / 2(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}{1 + V_1^2 / 4 + V_1 \tilde{m}_n / (\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}.$$
(10b)

For the impurity potential H_{imp}^2 , we obtain

$$i\tilde{\omega}_n = i\omega_n + \tilde{\mu} - n_i V_2 \frac{1 + V_2 i\tilde{\omega}_n / 2(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}{1 - V_2^2 / 4 + V_2 i\tilde{\omega}_n / (\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}$$
(11a)

$$\tilde{m}_n = m_0 + n_1 V_2 \frac{-V_2 \tilde{m}_n / 2(\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}{1 - V_2^2 / 4 + V_2 i \tilde{\omega}_n / (\tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \tilde{m}_n^2)^{1/2}}.$$
(11b)

Here $\tilde{\omega}_n$ and \tilde{m}_n are, respectively, the renormalized frequency and the renormalized mass of the Green function averaged over the random spatial distribution of impurities:

$$\tilde{G}_k(i\omega_n) = [i\tilde{\omega}_n - (k\sigma_3 + \tilde{m}_n\sigma_1)]^{-1}.$$
(12)

The concentration of impurities is denoted by n_i .

Defining $U_n = \tilde{\omega}_n / \tilde{m}_n$, we obtain from (10a) and (10b) that

$$\omega_n - \mathrm{i}\tilde{\mu} = U_n m_0 + \frac{n_i U_n}{A_1 + 1/(1 + U_n^2)^{1/2}}$$
(13)

and from (11a) and (11b) that

$$\omega_n - \mathrm{i}\tilde{\mu} = U_n m_0 + \frac{-\mathrm{i}n_i V_2}{A_2 + \mathrm{i}U_n / (1 + U_n^2)^{1/2}}.$$
(14)

Here $A_1 = 1/V_1 + V_1/4$ and $A_2 = 1/V_2 - V_2/4$. By replacing $iU_n \to U$ and $i\omega_n \to \omega$ in (13) and (14), we get analytically continued equations:

$$\omega + \tilde{\mu} = Um_0 + n_i U / [A_1 + 1 / (1 - U^2)^{1/2}]$$
(15)

$$\omega + \tilde{\mu} = Um_0 + n_i / [A_2 + U/(1 - U^2)^{1/2}].$$
(16)

In (15) and (16), U is determined as a function of $\omega + \tilde{\mu}$, $U = U(\omega + \tilde{\mu})$.

The mean misfit (or winding number) w is related to the fermion number $N = N(\tilde{\mu}, n_i, T)$,

$$w = (1/\sqrt{\pi})(\partial\phi/\partial x) \doteq -(N - N_0)/L \tag{17}$$

where N_0 is the fermion number when $\tilde{\mu} = 0$ and $n_i = 0$. The fermion number N can be expressed with use of the density of states $D(\omega)$ and the Fermi distribution function $f(\omega)$:

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm j}, T) = \frac{L}{\pi} \int D(\omega) f(\omega - \tilde{\mu}) \,\mathrm{d}\omega \tag{18}$$

$$D(\omega) = \text{Im}(U(\omega)/[1 - U^2(\omega)]^{1/2})$$
(19)

$$f(\omega) = 1/(e^{\omega/T} + 1).$$
 (20)

At finite temperature T the mean misfit is divided into three parts:

$$w_1 = -[N(\tilde{\mu}, n_i, T) - N(0, n_i, T)]/L$$
(21)

$$w_2 = -[N(0, n_i, T) - N(0, n_i, 0)]/L$$
(22)

$$w_3 = -[N(0, n_i, 0) - N(0, 0, 0)]/L.$$
(23)

The specific heat per unit length is written as

$$C = \frac{1}{\pi} \int D(\omega) \frac{(\omega - \tilde{\mu})^2}{2T^2} \frac{1}{1 + \cosh[(\omega - \tilde{\mu})/T]} \,\mathrm{d}\omega. \tag{24}$$

In the following sections we will discuss the above mean misfit and the specific heat of the system.

2. Impurity potential H_{imp}^1

2.1. Ground-state properties of the mean misfit

We will start from the discussion of the ground-state properties of the mean misfit. At T = 0, the fermion number is

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm i}, T=0) = \frac{L}{\pi} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\tilde{\mu}} D(\omega) \,\mathrm{d}\omega.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

This is analytically calculated to become

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm i}, T = 0) = \frac{L}{\pi} \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left[\tilde{\mu} \frac{U}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{m_0}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{n_{\rm i}}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} \right] - \frac{n_{\rm i}}{2} \log \left(A_1 + \frac{1}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} \right)^2 + \Lambda \right\}.$$
(26)

Here U is determined by putting $\omega = 0$ in (15):

$$\tilde{\mu} = m_0 U + n_i U / [A_1 + 1/(1 - U^2)^{1/2}].$$
(27)

Then the mean misfit at T = 0 is written as

$$\frac{w}{m_0} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{m_0} \frac{U}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{n_i}{2m_0} \log \left(A_1 + \frac{1}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} \right)^2 \right].$$
(28)

This behaviour is shown in figure I(a) for $V_1 > 0$ and in figures 2(a) and 3(a) for $V_1 < 0$.

2.1.1. $V_l > 0$. For the repulsive impurity potential $V_l > 0$, there is a gap energy ω_g for all concentration of impurities. For $|\omega| < \omega_g$, the density of states is zero. Near the gap energy, the density of states is expressed as

$$D(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_g^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{|\omega| - \omega_g}{m_0}\right)^{1/2}$$
(29)

where U_g is the corresponding value of ω_g , and $a = -[d^2(\omega/m_0)/dU^2]_{U=U_g}/2$. Integrating $D(\omega)$ with respect to ω from ω_g to $\tilde{\mu}$, we obtain the mean misfit:

$$\frac{w}{m_0} \sim -\frac{2}{3\pi} \frac{\left[(\tilde{\mu} - \omega_{\rm g})/m_0\right]^{3/2}}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_{\rm g}^2)^{3/2}}.$$
(30)



Figure 1. (a) Behaviour of the mean misfit as a function of the parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ at various temperatures $T/m_0 = 0, 0.2$ and 0.6 ($V_1 = 1.0, n_i/m_0 = 0.1$). The linear line $w = -\tilde{\mu}/\pi$ is that for the high-temperature limit. An enlarged scale is used in the right part to elucidate the critical behaviour of the mean misfit at $\tilde{\mu} \sim \omega_g$. (b) Behaviour of the mean misfit as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$ and 1.4 ($V_1 = 1.0, n_i/m_0 = 0.1$). (c) Behaviour of the specific heat as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$ and 1.4 ($V_1 = 1.0, n_i/m_0 = 0.1$). Here the specific heat for a massless boson gas with no impurities is subtracted, $\Delta C = C - \pi T/3$.

For low concentration of impurities, $U_g^2 \sim 1 - (n_i/m_0)^2$ and $a \sim (n_i/m_0)^{-2}/2$. Then the mean misfit is written as



Figure 2. (a) Behaviour of the mean misfit as a function of the parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ at various temperatures $T/m_0 = 0$, 0.2 and 0.6 $(V_1 = -2/3, n_i/m_0 = 0.1)$. The linear line $w = -\tilde{\mu}/\pi$ is that for the high-temperature limit. (b) Behaviour of the mean misfit as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 $(V_1 = -2/3, n_i/m_0 = 0.1)$. (c) Behaviour of the specific heat as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\bar{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.1$). Here the specific heat for a massless boson gas with no impurities is subtracted, $\Delta C = C - \pi T/3$.

$$\frac{w}{m_0} \sim -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\pi} \left(\frac{n_{\rm i}}{m_0}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu} - \omega_{\rm g}}{m_0}\right)^{3/2}.$$
(31)

The exponent of the deviation of $\tilde{\mu}$ from the gap energy is 3/2, which is different from that of the pure case, 1/2 [1,17]. From the left part (T = 0) of figure 1(a) the exponent seems

۰. ·



Figure 3. (a) Behaviour of the mean misfit as a function of the parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ at various temperatures $T/m_0 = 0, 0.2$ and 0.6 ($V_1 = -2/3, n_1/m_0 = 0.8$). The linear line $w = -\tilde{\mu}/\pi$ is that for the high-temperature limit. (b) Behaviour of the mean misfit as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6$ and 0.8 ($V_1 = -2/3, n_1/m_0 = 0.8$). (c) Behaviour of the specific heat as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0, 0.4, 0.6$ and 0.8 ($V_1 = -2/3, n_1/m_0 = 0.8$). (c) Behaviour of the specific heat as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0, 0.4, 0.6$ and 0.8 ($V_1 = -2/3, n_1/m_0 = 0, 0.4, 0.6$ and 0.8 ($V_1 = -2/3, n_1/m_0 = 0.8$). Here the specific heat for a massless boson gas with no impurities is subtracted, $\Delta C = C - \pi T/3$.

to be 1/2, but enlarged scale (the right part) clearly shows that the exponent is not 1/2 but 3/2.

9483

9484 H Yamamoto

2.1.2. $V_1 < 0$. For the attractive impurity potential $V_1 < 0$, there is a gap energy ω_g for the impurity concentration n_i , which is smaller than the critical concentration n_{ic} (= $(-A_1 - 1)m_0$). Near the gap energy the expression of the mean misfit is the same as (30). The exponent of the deviation of $\tilde{\mu}$ from ω_g is 3/2. For low concentration of impurities, $U_g \sim U_{B1}$ and $a \sim (1 - U_{B1}^2)^{-3/4} (n_i/m_0)^{-1/2}$, where U_{B1} is the normalized bound-state position, $U_{B1} = |1 - V_1^2/4|/(1 + V_1^2/4)$. Then the mean misfit becomes

$$\frac{w}{m_0} \sim -\frac{2}{3\pi} \left(\frac{n_{\rm i}}{m_0}\right)^{1/4} (1 - U_{\rm B1}^2)^{-9/8} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu} - \omega_{\rm g}}{m_0}\right)^{3/2}.$$
(32)

We show the behaviour of the mean misfit in figure 2(a). It shows that the exponent of the deviation of $\tilde{\mu}$ from ω_g is 3/2.

For the impurity concentration $n_i > n_{ic}$, the density of states at $\omega = 0$ is finite. The mean misfit shows a linear dependence on $\tilde{\mu}$ for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}$:

$$w \sim -D_0 \tilde{\mu} / \pi \tag{33}$$

where D_0 is the density of states at $\omega = 0$:

$$D_0 = \left[(1 + A_1 + n_i/m_0)(1 - A_1 - n_i/m_0) \right]^{1/2}.$$
(34)

We show the behaviour of the mean misfit in figure 3(a). It clearly shows the linear dependence on $\tilde{\mu}$ for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}$.

2.2. Finite-temperature properties of the mean misfit

For the impurity potential H_{imp}^1 , w_1 becomes

$$w_1 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int D(\omega) [f(\omega - \tilde{\mu}) - f(\omega)] \, \mathrm{d}\omega. \tag{35}$$

Using the symmetric property of the density of states $D(\omega) = D(-\omega)$, we obtain

$$w_1 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty D(\omega) \frac{\sinh(\tilde{\mu}/T)}{\cosh(\tilde{\mu}/T) + \cosh(\omega/T)} \, \mathrm{d}\omega. \tag{36}$$

The other parts w_2 and w_3 become zero. We show the behaviour of the mean misfit w_1 in figure 1(a) ($V_1 > 0$) and figures 2(a) and 3(a) ($V_1 < 0$) as a function of the natural misfit (the chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$) at two temperatures $T/m_0 = 0.2$ and 0.6. For the case of finite gap energy, the mean misfit increases exponentially at lower temperature $T/m_0 = 0.2$ but linearly at higher temperature $T/m_0 = 0.6$ and approaches the linear line $w_1 = -\tilde{\mu}/\pi$ (figures 1(a) and 2(a)). For the concentration $n_i > n_{ic}$ (figure 3(a); $V_1 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.8$), the mean misfit shows a linear dependence on $\tilde{\mu}$ for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}$ and approaches the linear line $w_1 = -\tilde{\mu}/\pi$ with increase of temperature. In figures 1(b), 2(b) and 3(b), we show the mean misfit as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4 (figure 1(b)), $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 (figure 3(b)). For the case of finite gap energy (figures 1(b) and 2(b)), the curves tend to zero at zero temperature for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}(< \omega_g)$ but tend to finite value for larger values of $\tilde{\mu}(> \omega_g)$. For the case of zero gap energy (figure 3(b)), the curves tend to finite values at zero temperature.

Next we will give the analytical expressions of the mean misfit for several limiting cases.

2.2.1. Lower temperatures. At lower temperatures we will use the density of states (29) estimated near the gap energy for $n_i < n_{ic}$,

$$D(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1-U_g^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{|\omega|-\omega_g}{m_0}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The case of $V_1 > 0$ corresponds to the infinite critical concentration $n_{ic} \rightarrow \infty$, and we always use this form for any concentration of impurities. The mean misfit is given by the following expressions for the three cases:

(i)
$$\tilde{\mu} < \omega_{g}$$

$$w \sim -\frac{\sinh(\tilde{\mu}/T)T^{3/2}e^{-\omega_{g}/T}}{(\pi a m_{0})^{1/2}(1-U_{g}^{2})^{3/2}}$$
(37)

(ii)
$$\tilde{\mu} = \omega_{\rm g}$$

$$w \sim -\frac{\sinh(\tilde{\mu}/T)T^{3/2}e^{-\bar{\mu}/T}}{(\pi am_0)^{1/2}(1-U_g^2)^{3/2}}\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2}\zeta(3/2)$$
(38)

(iii)
$$\tilde{\mu} > \omega_{\rm g}$$

$$w \sim -\frac{1}{\pi (am_0)^{1/2} (1 - U_g^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{2}{3} (\tilde{\mu} - \omega_g)^{3/2} + \frac{\zeta(2) T^2}{2 (\tilde{\mu} - \omega_g)^{1/2}} + \cdots \right).$$
(39)

Here $\zeta(s)$ is a zeta function and $\zeta(3/2) \simeq 2.612$, $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6 \simeq 1.645$.

For $n_i > n_{ic}$, the density of states is estimated as $D(\omega) \sim D_0 + D_2 \omega^2$. Then the mean misfit becomes

$$w \sim -D_0 \tilde{\mu} / \pi - D_2 \tilde{\mu} (\pi^2 T^2 + \tilde{\mu}^2) / 3\pi$$
(40)

which shows a linear dependence on $\tilde{\mu}$ for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}$.

For the critical impurity concentration $n_i = n_{ic}$, the density of states is estimated as

$$D(\omega) \sim (\sqrt{3}/2) [-2(A_1+1)]^{1/3} (|\omega|/m_0)^{1/3}.$$
(41)

Then the mean misfit becomes

$$w \sim -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \left[-2(A_1+1) \right]^{1/3} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{m_0} \right)^{1/3} \tilde{\mu} \left[\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\pi^2}{18} \left(\frac{T}{\tilde{\mu}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(42)

for $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$ and

$$w \sim -(\sqrt{3}/2\pi)[-2(A_1+1)]^{1/3}(T/m_0)^{1/3}\tilde{\mu}I$$
(43a)

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{1/3} \,\mathrm{d}x}{2\cosh^2(x/2)} \simeq 1.021 \tag{43b}$$

for $\tilde{\mu} \ll T$.

2.2.2. Higher temperatures. At higher temperatures it is convenient to use the following expression for the fermion number:

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm i}, T) = LT \sum_{n} \frac{-\mathrm{i}U_n}{(1 + U_n^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{L}{\pi} (\Lambda + \tilde{\mu})$$
(44)

where U_n is determined in (13). At higher temperatures $T \gg m_0$, n_i , $|U_n|$ becomes large and is approximated as

$$U_n \sim (\omega_n - i\tilde{\mu} \pm n_i / A_1^2) / (m_0 + n_i / A_1)$$
(45)

where we take the upper sign + for $\omega_n > 0$ and the lower sign - for $\omega_n < 0$. Then the fermion number becomes

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{i}, T) \sim \frac{LT}{2} \left(\frac{m_{0} + n_{i}/A_{1}}{2\pi T} \right)^{2} \times i \left[\psi^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_{i}/A_{1}^{2} - i\tilde{\mu}}{2\pi T} \right) - \psi^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_{i}/A_{1}^{2} + i\tilde{\mu}}{2\pi T} \right) \right] + \frac{L}{\pi} (\Lambda + \tilde{\mu})$$
(46)

where $\psi^{(n)}(z)$ are poly-gamma functions. We will give the expressions for the mean misfit w at higher temperatures in the two limiting cases:

(i)
$$\tilde{\mu} \ll T$$

 $w \sim -\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_0 + n_i/A_1}{2\pi T} \right)^2 \psi^{(2)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_i/A_1^2}{2\pi T} \right) \right]$
(ii) $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$
(47)

$$w \sim -\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{(m_0 + n_i/A_1)^2}{2\tilde{\mu}^2} \right).$$
(48)

2.3. Specific heat

In this section we will discuss the specific heat of the system. In figures 1(c), 2(c) and 3(c) we show the behaviour of the specific heat as a function of temperature for a set of parameters (or chemical potentials) $\tilde{\mu}$. We have subtracted the specific heat for a massless boson gas with no impurity, $C = \pi T/3$, which is just the high-temperature limit of the system. Figures 1(c) ($V_1 = 1$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.1$, $\omega_g/m_0 \simeq 1.0040$) and 2(c) ($V_1 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.1$, $\omega_g/m_0 \simeq 0.4695$) are like each other and correspond to the case of finite gap energy. Figure 3(c) ($V_1 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.8$) corresponds to the case of zero gap energy.

Next we will give the analytical expressions for several limiting cases.

2.3.1. Lower temperatures. At lower temperatures we will use the density of states (29) estimated near the gap energy for $n_i < n_{ic}$. Then the specific heat per unit length is given by the following expressions for each case:

(i)
$$\tilde{\mu} < \omega_{\rm g}$$

$$C \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2} (1 - U_g^2)^{3/2}} \frac{(\omega_g - \tilde{\mu})^2}{2(\pi m_0 T)^{1/2}} e^{-(\omega_g - \tilde{\mu})/T}$$
(49)

(ii) $\tilde{\mu} = \omega_{\rm g}$

$$C \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_g^2)^{3/2}} \frac{15T^{3/2}}{8(\pi m_0)^{1/2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) \zeta(5/2)$$
(50)

(iii) $\tilde{\mu} > \omega_{\rm g}$

$$C \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_g^2)^{3/2}} \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu} - \omega_g}{m_0}\right)^{1/2} T\zeta(2).$$
(51)

Here $\zeta(5/2) \simeq 1.341$.

For $n_i > n_{ic}$, the specific heat is estimated as

$$C \sim \pi D_0 T/3 + (\pi D_2 T/3)(\tilde{\mu}^2 + 7\pi^2 T^2/5).$$
(52)

For the critical impurity concentration $n_i = n_{ic}$, the specific heat is estimated as

$$C \sim (\sqrt{3}/2) [-2(A_{\rm I}+1)]^{1/3} (\tilde{\mu}/m_0)^{1/3} \pi T/3$$
(53)

for $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$ and

$$C \sim (\sqrt{3}/2) [-2(A_1+1)]^{1/3} (2T/\pi) (1-2^{-4/3}) \Gamma(10/3) \zeta(7/3)$$
(54)

for $\tilde{\mu} \ll T$. Here $\Gamma(10/3) \simeq 2.778$ and $\zeta(7/3) \simeq 1.415$. In obtaining (54) we have used (41) for the density of states and the table in [18].

2.3.2. Higher temperatures. At higher temperatures it is convenient to use the method of Luttinger and Ward [19] to calculate the thermodynamic potential of the system. We expand the thermodynamic potential over $(m_0 + n_i/A_1)/T$ and differentiate this potential with respect to T. Then the entropy of the system per unit length becomes

$$S \sim \frac{\pi T}{3} + (m_0 + n_i/A_1)^2 \left\{ \frac{-1}{2\pi T} + \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{n_i/A_1^2 - i\tilde{\mu}}{4\pi^2 T^2} \psi^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_i/A_1^2 - i\tilde{\mu}}{2\pi T} \right) \right] \right\}.$$
 (55)

From this entropy we get the specific heat of the system,

$$C \sim \frac{\pi T}{3} + \left(m_0 + \frac{n_i}{A_1}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2\pi T} - \frac{n_i/A_1^2}{2\pi^2 T^2}\psi^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_i/A_1^2}{2\pi T}\right) - \frac{(n_i/A_1)^2}{8\pi^3 T^3}\psi^{(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_i/A_1^2}{2\pi T}\right)\right]$$
(56)

for $\tilde{\mu} \ll T$ and

$$C \sim (\pi T/3)[1 + (m_0 + n_i/A_1)^2/(2\tilde{\mu}^2)]$$
(57)

for $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$.

3. Impurity potential H_{imp}^2

3.1. Ground-state properties of the mean misfit

At T = 0, the fermion number (equation (18)) is analytically calculated to become

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm i}, T = 0) = \frac{L}{\pi} \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left[\tilde{\mu} \frac{U}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{m_0}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{n_{\rm i}}{2} \log \left(A_2 + \frac{U}{(1 - U^2)^{1/2}} \right)^2 \right] + n_{\rm i} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{A_2} \right) + \Lambda \right\}.$$
(58)

Here U is determined by putting $\omega = 0$ in (16),

$$\tilde{\mu} = m_0 U + n_i / [A_2 + U / (1 - U^2)^{1/2}].$$
(59)

Then the first part of the mean misfit at T = 0 is written as

$$\frac{w_1}{m_0} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{m_0} \frac{U(\tilde{\mu})}{[1 - U^2(\tilde{\mu})]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{[1 - U^2(\tilde{\mu})]^{1/2}} - \frac{n_i}{2m_0} \log \left(A_2 + \frac{U(\tilde{\mu})}{[1 - U^2(\tilde{\mu})]^{1/2}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{[1 - U^2(0)]^{1/2}} + \frac{n_i}{2m_0} \left(A_2 + \frac{U(0)}{[1 - U^2(0)]^{1/2}} \right)^2 \right].$$
(60)

This behaviour is shown in figure 4(a) ($V_2 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.15$). In figure 4(a), the impurity concentration n_i is assumed to be smaller than the critical concentration n_{ic} , in which the density of states at $\omega = 0$ becomes zero (equations (51)-(53) and figure 5 in [20]). For $n_i < n_{ic}$, there are two gap energies $\omega_{g1}(>0)$ and $\omega_{g2}(<0)$. For $\omega_{g2} < \omega < \omega_{g1}$, the density of states is zero. Near $\tilde{\mu} \sim \omega_{g1}$, the mean misfit is given by

$$\frac{w_{\rm I}}{m_0} \sim -\frac{2}{3\pi} \frac{\left[(\tilde{\mu} - \omega_{\rm g1})/m_0\right]^{3/2}}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_{\rm g1}^2)^{3/2}}.$$
(61)

where $a = -\frac{1}{2} d^2 (\omega/m_0)/dU_{g1}^2$ and U_{g1} is the corresponding value of the gap energy ω_{g1} . The exponent of the deviation of $\tilde{\mu}$ from ω_{g1} is 3/2. For $n_i > n_{ic}$, the mean misfit shows a linear dependence on $\tilde{\mu}$ for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}$,

$$w_1 \sim -D_0 \tilde{\mu} / \pi \tag{62}$$

where D_0 is the density of states at $\omega = 0$. The second part of the mean misfit w_2 vanishes. The third part w_3 becomes

$$w_3 = -(n_i/\pi) \tan^{-1}(1/A_2) \tag{63}$$

for $n_i < n_{ic}$ and

$$w_{3} = -\frac{n_{i}}{\pi} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{A_{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{m_{0}}{[1 - U^{2}(0)]^{1/2}} + \frac{n_{i}}{2} \log\left(A_{2} + \frac{U(0)}{[1 - U^{2}(0)]^{1/2}}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(64)

for $n_i > n_{ic}$. We note that w_3 is not zero, in contrast to the case of the H_{imp}^1 potential. This shows that a mean misfit is induced by impurities. The behaviour of w_3 is shown in figure 4(b). For $n_i > n_{ic}$, w_3 deviates from the linear line. This deviation is proportional to $(n_i - n_{ic})^{3/2}$ at $n_i \sim n_{ic}$.

3.2. Finite-temperature properties of the mean misfit

For the impurity potential H_{imp}^2 , the first part of the mean misfit w_1 becomes

$$w_1 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int D(\omega) [f(\omega - \tilde{\mu}) - f(\omega)] d\omega.$$
(65)

We show this behaviour in figure 4(a) $(V_2 = -2/3, n_i/m_0 = 0.15)$ as a function of $\tilde{\mu}$ at three temperatures $T/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.6 and 1.6. In this case of finite gap energies ω_{g1} (> 0) and ω_{g2} (< 0), the mean misfit increases exponentially at lower temperatures but linearly at higher temperatures and approaches the linear line $w = -\tilde{\mu}/\pi$. In figure 4(c) $(V_2 = -2/3, n_i/m_0 = 0.15)$ we show the mean misfit w_1 as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8. For $\tilde{\mu} < \omega_{g1}$ the mean misfit tends to zero as T approaches zero. In figure 4(d) $(V_2 = -2/3, n_i/m_0 = 0.15)$, we show the second part of the mean misfit w_2 as a function of temperature. This part is related to the asymmetric property of the density of states, $D(-\omega) \neq D(\omega)$.

Next we will give the analytical expressions of the mean misfit for several limiting cases.

3.2.1. Lower temperatures. At lower temperatures we will use the density of states estimated near the gap energy ω_{gl} for $n_i < n_{ic}$,

$$D(\omega) = \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_{g_1}^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{\omega - \omega_{g_1}}{m_0}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (66)

Then the mean misfit is given by the following expressions for each case:

(i)
$$\tilde{\mu} < \omega_{gl}$$

 $w_1 \sim -\frac{T^{3/2} e^{-\omega_{gl}/T}}{(\pi a m_0)^{1/2} (1 - U_{gl}^2)^{3/2}} \frac{e^{\tilde{\mu}/T} - 1}{2}$
(67)

(ii)
$$\tilde{\mu} = \omega_{g1}$$

 $w_1 \sim -\frac{T^{3/2}}{(\pi a m_0)^{1/2} (1 - U_{g1}^2)^{3/2}} \frac{1 - e^{-\bar{\mu}/T}}{2} \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2} \zeta(3/2)$ (68)

(iii)
$$\tilde{\mu} > \omega_{gl}$$

 $w_1 \sim -\frac{1}{\pi (am_0)^{1/2} (1 - U_{gl}^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{2}{3} (\tilde{\mu} - \omega_{gl})^{3/2} + \frac{\zeta(2) T^2}{2 (\tilde{\mu} - \omega_{gl})^{1/2}} \right).$ (69)

For the impurity concentration $n_i > n_{ic}$, the density of states is estimated as $D(\tilde{\mu})$. Then the mean misfit becomes

$$w_1 \sim -D(\tilde{\mu})\tilde{\mu}/\pi \tag{70}$$

which shows the linear dependence on $\tilde{\mu}$ for smaller values of $\tilde{\mu}$, since $D(\tilde{\mu}) \sim D_0$ for $\tilde{\mu} \sim 0$. For the critical impurity concentration $n_i = n_{ic}$, the density of states is given by (66) by putting $\omega_{gl} = 0$. Then the mean misfit becomes

$$w_1 \sim -\frac{1}{\pi (am_0)^{1/2} (1 - U_{g1}^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu}^{3/2} + \frac{\zeta(2)T^2}{2\tilde{\mu}^{1/2}}\right) \qquad (\tilde{\mu} \gg T)$$
(71a)

$$w_1 \sim -\frac{1}{\pi (am_0)^{1/2} (1 - U_{\rm gl}^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\tilde{\mu} T^{1/2}}{2} J \qquad (\tilde{\mu} \ll T)$$
 (71b)



Figure 4. (a) Behaviour of the mean misfit w_1 as a function of the parameter $\bar{\mu}$ at various temperatures $T/m_0 = 0$, 0.2, 0.6 and 1.6 ($V_2 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.15$). The linear line $w = -\bar{\mu}/\pi$ is that for the high-temperature limit. (b) Behaviour of the mean misfit w_3 at T = 0 as a function of impurity concentration n_i ($V_2 = -2/3$). (c) Behaviour of the mean misfit w_1 as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\bar{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 ($V_2 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.15$). (d) Behaviour of the mean misfit w_2 as a function of temperature ($V_2 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.15$). (e) Behaviour of the specific heat as a function of temperature for a set of parameters $\bar{\mu}/m_0 = 0.2$, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.4 ($V_2 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.15$). Here the specific heat for a massless boson gas with no impurities is subtracted, $\Delta C = C - \pi T/3$.

where

$$J = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x \, x^{1/2}}{2 \cosh^2(x/2)} \simeq 1.072.$$

It is possible to make analytical calculations for the second part of the mean misfit w_2 at low temperatures. For $n_i \leq n_{ic}$,



Figure 4. (Continued)

$$w_2 \sim -\frac{T^{3/2} \mathrm{e}^{-\omega_{\mathrm{gl}}/T}}{2(\pi m_0 a)^{1/2} (1 - U_{\mathrm{gl}}^2)^{3/2}}$$
(72)

and for $n_i > n_{ic}$,

$$w_2 \sim -\pi D_1 T^2/6$$
 (73)

where we have used the form $D(\omega) \sim D_0 + D_1 \omega$ for the density of states at $\omega \sim 0$.

3.2.2. Higher temperatures. At higher temperatures it is convenient to use the following expression for the fermion number:

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm i}, T) = LT \sum_{n} \frac{-\mathrm{i}U_n}{(1 + U_n^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{L}{\pi} (\Lambda + \tilde{\mu})$$
(74)

where U_n is determined in (14). At higher temperatures $T \gg m_0$, $|U_n|$ becomes large and is approximated as

$$U_n \sim [\omega_n - i\tilde{\mu} + in_i/(A_2 \pm i)]/m_0$$
 (75)

where we take the upper sign + for $\omega_n > 0$ and the lower sign - for $\omega_n < 0$. Then the fermion number becomes

$$N(\tilde{\mu}, n_{\rm i}, T) \sim \frac{LT}{2} \left(\frac{m_0}{2\pi T}\right)^2 i \left\{ \psi^{(1)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} n_{\rm i}}{A_2 + \mathrm{i}} - \mathrm{i} \tilde{\mu} \right) \right] - \psi^{(1)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left(\frac{-\mathrm{i} n_{\rm i}}{A_2 - \mathrm{i}} + \mathrm{i} \tilde{\mu} \right) \right] \right\} + \frac{L}{\pi} (\Lambda + \tilde{\mu}).$$
(76)

We will give the expressions of the mean misfit w at high temperatures in the two limiting cases:

(i)
$$\tilde{\mu}, n_{i} \ll T$$

$$w \sim -\frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_{0}}{2\pi T} \right)^{2} \left(\tilde{\mu} - \frac{n_{i}A_{2}}{A_{2}^{2} + 1} \right) \psi^{(2)}(1/2) + \tilde{\mu} \right]$$
(77)

(ii) $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$

$$w \sim -\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\tilde{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_0^2}{\tilde{\mu} - n_{\rm i} A_2 / (A_2^2 + 1)} \right). \tag{78}$$

Here $\psi^{(2)}(1/2) = -14\zeta(3) \simeq -16.829$.

3.3. Specific heat

In this section we will discuss the specific heat of the system. In figure 4(e) ($V_2 = -2/3$, $n_i/m_0 = 0.15$) we show the specific heat per unit length as a function of temperature for a set of misfit parameters $\tilde{\mu}/m_0 = 0$, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4. We have subtracted the specific heat for a massless boson gas of no impurities, $C = \pi T/3$, which is just the high-temperature limit of the system. In this case of finite gap energies ($\omega_{g1}/m_0 \simeq 0.34395$, $\omega_{g2} \simeq -1.00841$), figure 4(e) is similar to figure 1(c) or 2(c).

Next we will give the analytical expressions for several limiting cases.

3.3.1. Lower temperatures. At lower temperatures we use the density of states (66) for $n_i < n_{ic}$. The results are only to change $\omega_g \rightarrow \omega_{g1}$, $U_g \rightarrow U_{g1}$ in (49)–(51). For $n_i > n_{ic}$, the specific heat is estimated as

$$C \sim \pi D(\tilde{\mu})T/3 \tag{79}$$

with the density of states at $\omega = \tilde{\mu}$. For the critical concentration $n_i = n_{ic}$, the density of states is given by (66) by putting $\omega_{g1} = 0$, i.e.

$$D(\omega) = \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_{gl}^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{\omega}{m_0}\right)^{1/2}$$

for $\omega > 0$ and $D(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega < 0$. Then the specific heat per unit length is estimated as

$$C \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2}(1 - U_{g1}^2)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{m_0}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\pi T}{3}$$
(80)

for $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$ and

$$C \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/2} (1 - U_{\rm gl}^2)^{3/2}} \frac{15T^{3/2}}{8(\pi m_0)^{1/2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) \zeta(5/2) \tag{81}$$

for $\tilde{\mu} \sim 0$.

potential with respect to T. Then the entropy per unit length becomes

$$S \sim \frac{\pi T}{3} + m_0^2 \left[\left[\frac{-1}{2\pi T} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{i}{4\pi^2 T^2} \left(\frac{n_i}{A_2 + i} - \tilde{\mu} \right) \psi^{(1)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\pi T} \left(\frac{n_i}{A_2 + i} - \tilde{\mu} \right) \right] \right\} \right] \right].$$
(82)

The first term is the contribution from the free boson gas $m_0 = 0$, $n_i = 0$. The specific heat per unit length is estimated as

$$C \sim \frac{\pi T}{3} + m_0^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi T} + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi^2 T^2} \frac{-\operatorname{i} n_i}{A_2 + \mathrm{i}} \psi^{(1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi T} \frac{\operatorname{i} n_i}{A_2 + \mathrm{i}} \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^3 T^3} \left(\frac{n_i}{A_2 + \mathrm{i}} \right)^2 \psi^{(2)} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi T} \frac{\operatorname{i} n_i}{A_2 + \mathrm{i}} \right) \right] \right\}$$
(83)

for $\tilde{\mu} \ll T$ and

$$C \sim (\pi T/3)[1 + m_0^2/(2\bar{\mu}^2)]$$
(84)

for $\tilde{\mu} \gg T$.

4. Summary

We have calculated the mean misfit and the specific heat of the one-dimensional quantum sine-Gordon system with impurities for the special case of the quantum parameter, $g^2 = 4\pi$. Two types of impurities are considered. We have used the Fermi-Bose relation and the self-consistent *t*-matrix approximation for impurities. The effect of impurities appears at lower temperatures, especially at the ground state T = 0. One is the change of the exponent of the mean misfit from 1/2 in the pure system to 3/2 in our system with impurities.

For the impurity potential H_{imp}^{I} , the overall behaviour of the mean misfit for the case of repulsive potential $V_{I} > 0$ is similar to that of the pure system except just near $\tilde{\mu} = \omega_{g}$. For the case of attractive impurity potential $V_{1} < 0$, the behaviour of the mean misfit at T = 0 is different from that of the pure system, since the gap energy is reduced by the impurities. Moreover, with increasing concentration of impurities, the gap energy ω_{g} vanishes and the density of states at $\omega = 0$ becomes finite. In this case the mean misfit starts from the origin and linearly increases near $\tilde{\mu} \sim 0$.

The above statements apply to the case of the impurity potential H_{imp}^2 except that the mean misfit is induced by the impurities even when the misfit parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ is zero.

We note that the overall behaviours of the mean misfit w_1 and the specific heat per unit length C at finite temperatures depend on the existence of the gap. We have made analytical calculations of the mean misfit and the specific heat in the high- and low-temperature limits.

Here we comment on the case of a negative value of $\tilde{\mu}$, $\tilde{\mu} < 0$. For the $H_{\rm imp}^1$ potential we can give the same results except for sign as the positive case of $\tilde{\mu}$ from the symmetry of the density of states. For the $H_{\rm imp}^2$ potential the density of states is asymmetric, so our discussions are confined to the case of positive value of $\tilde{\mu}$ except for the analytical calculations in the high-temperature limit. However, it is easy to extend our discussions to the case of negative value of $\tilde{\mu}$.

The rewritten fermion systems (4), (7), (8) are related to the problems of one-dimensional Anderson localization [21, 22] except for the existence of the mass term. We have obtained the expression of the density of states by use of the *t*-matrix approximation for impurities

and calculated the specific heat and the mean misfit. It is possible that our self-consistent *t*-matrix approximation is inaccurate in one-dimensional problems. The density of states near the gap energy will not be expressed as $D(\omega) \sim (\omega - \omega_g)^{1/2}$. The critical exponent of the mean misfit at T = 0 may not be 3/2.

Here we try a simple argument on this problem. We will apply the discussion on the Schrödinger equation with δ -function potentials by other authors [22] to our Dirac-type equations. For the repulsive potential $V_1(> 0)$, there will be gap energy like the results of our *t*-matrix approximation. However, the critical exponent of the mean misfit will not be 3/2. For the attractive potential $V_1(< 0)$ or the second type potential V_2 , except for the special case over the distance between impurities [23], the gap energy will not exist. There will exist the edge of the band, which decreases exponentially. The critical concentration will become zero, $n_{ic} = 0$. There will be no phase transition as a function of the chemical potential or the natural misfit at T = 0. In this case our self-consistent *t*-matrix approximation will be valid only at intermediate temperatures—not too low temperatures. Also at low temperatures the results for the case $n_i > n_{ic}$ will be useful, since the critical concentration $n_{ic} = 0$.

Exact numerical calculations or Monte Carlo simulations for the density of states of our fermion systems are now under investigation.

References

- [1] Yamamoto H 1983 Prog. Theor. Phys. 70 372
- [2] Suzumura Y and Fukuyama H 1980 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 49 915, 2081
- [3] McMillan W L 1975 Phys. Rev. B 12 1187
- [4] Yoon J G, Kwag Y J, Cho Y S and Kwun S-I 1993 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 62 327
- [5] Nakanishi K 1979 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 46 1434
- Karder M and Nelson D R 1985 Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 1157
 Karder M 1987 Nucl. Phys. B 290 582
 Tsvelik A M 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 3889
- [7] Villain J 1982 J. Physique Lett. 43 L551
- [8] Rubinstein M, Shraiman B and Nelson D R 1983 Phys. Rev. B 27 1800
- [9] Nelson D R 1983 Phys. Rev. B 27 2902
- [10] Toner J 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 2537
- [11] Ioffe L B and Vinokur V M 1987 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 20 6149
- [12] Yamamoto H 1993 Phys. Lett. A 177 76
- [13] Luther A and Emery V J 1974 Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 589
- [14] Luther A and Peschel I 1974 Phys. Rev. B 9 2911
- [15] Bergnoff H and Thacker H B 1979 Phys. Rev. D 19 3666
- [16] Korepin V E 1979 Theor. Math. Phys. 41 169; 1980 Commun. Math. Phys. 76 165
- [17] Pokrovsky V L and Talapov A L 1979 Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 65; 1980 Sov. Phys.-JETP 51 134
- [18] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 1980 Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (New York: Academic) p 352
- [19] Luttinger J M and Ward J C 1960 Phys. Rev. 118 1417
- [20] Yamamoto H 1994 J. Low Temp. Phys. 94 77
- MacKinnon A and Kramer B 1983 Z. Phys. B 53 1
 Pichard J L and Sarma G 1985 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 18 3457
 Abrahams E, Anderson P W, Licciardello D C and Ramakrishnan T V 1979 Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 673
 Abrikosov A A and Ryzhkin I A 1978 Adv. Phys. 27 147
- [22] Lax M and Phillips J C 1958 Phys. Rev. 110 41
 Frisch H L and Lloyd S P 1960 Phys. Rev. 120 1175
 Borland R E and Bird N F 1964 Proc. Phys. Soc. 83 23
- Borland R E 1961 Proc. Phys. Soc. 78 926
 Clerk G J and McKellar B H J 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 6942